

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,106	09/28/2000	Randal K. Buddington	2343-104-27	2343-104-27 8636	
24510	7590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	RUDNICK GRAY CAR	OH, SIMON J			
ATTN: PATE	ENT GROUP EENTH STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1618		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/671,106	BUDDINGTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Simon J. Oh	1618	

•	LAGIIIII	Artonic					
	Simon J. Oh	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	olionee with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	a af tha data af				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments will not be entered since they substantially affect the scope of the instant claims by the amendment of the transitional phrase "comprising" to "consisting of". As such, the proposed amendments will require further search and consideration, since the scope embodied by the proposed amendments had not been previously considered in the prosecution of this case.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER